PRIMUS GeMS: SOME OPEN PROBLEMS

STANISLAV NAGY

Basic definitions and notation. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d . For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the halfspace (Tukey) depth [6] of x with respect to (w.r.t.) P is defined as

$$hD(x;P) = \inf_{\mathfrak{H}(x)} P(\mathfrak{H}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is the set of all halfspaces whose boundary hyperplane passes through x. The halfspace depth quantifies the "centrality" of a point x w.r.t. the distribution P. For $\alpha \geq 0$, consider the upper level set of the depth

$$hD_{\alpha} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \colon hD(x; P) \ge \alpha \right\}.$$

This collection of the so-called depth regions hD_{α} , for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, constitutes a generalisation of quantiles to multivariate probability measures. The point (or a set of points) that maximizes the depth w.r.t. P is the generalised (halfspace) median of P in \mathbb{R}^d . For α high (near 1/2), region hD_{α} forms the locus of points in the "centre" of the distribution P. For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ uniform on a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the depth regions hD_{α} coincide with the so-called floating bodies of K studied in geometry [30, 23, 34].

An array of open problems regards generalisations of results known for floating bodies (uniform distributions on those bodies) in \mathbb{R}^d to "reasonable" classes of measures in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Often, it is easy to show that a given property does not hold true for all measures in $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In that case, it is always interesting to see whether the property can hold true at least for P that:

- has finite moments,
- has a density f (w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure),
- its density is bounded, continuous, or smooth,
- is (in some sense) symmetric,
- its density f is unimodal, log-concave, or quasi-concave, etc.

Most of the problems presented in this text is examined in greater detail in the survey paper [30].

1. Characterisation by depth. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be two different probability measures. Does there exist a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $hD(x; P) \neq hD(x; Q)$? We know that this characterisation result holds true under additional assumptions:

- if *P* is has a finite number of atoms [22];
- if the boundaries of the depth regions hD_{α} are smooth for both P and Q for all $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ [21].

We know that the general conjecture is not valid [28]. Though, all the available examples of different distributions with the same depth that are distributions without a finite first moment (expectation). Is the existence of the expectation sufficient for the depth to characterize the distribution? Under which conditions are absolutely continuous probability distributions

E-mail address: nagy@karlin.mff.cuni.cz.

Date: February 5, 2019.

characterised by their depth? Is the uniform distribution on a triangle characterized by its depth?

Can we, for a given halfspace $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, determine $P(\mathfrak{H})$ only from the depth of P at all points in \mathbb{R}^d ?

2. Centroids of the cuts of a measure. For a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a measure P we say that a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ minimizes the depth at point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, if $x \in H$ and $P(\mathfrak{H}) = hD(x; P)$ for one of the halfspaces \mathfrak{H} whose boundary is H. Halfspace \mathfrak{H} is then called a minimizing halfspace of x. For a random vector $X \sim P$ uniformly distributed on a convex body K it holds that at each $x \in K$ there exists a minimizing hyperplane, and for each hyperplane H that minimizes the depth at $x \in K$ we have that x is the centroid of a cut of the body K by the hyperplane H [18]. For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with continuous density positive on a convex set, a variant of this result is proved in [19, Theorem 3.1]. Does a version of this theorem hold true also for general measures $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$?

3. Cuts of a measure through its centroid. For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a density, we know that there exists a collection minimizing halfspaces of x_P whose union is \mathbb{R}^d [31, Propositions 8 and 12]. By Problem 2, for reasonable measures P, for each bounding hyperplane H of such a halfspace, the centroid of the cut of P by H is x_P .

Does there always exist a collection of d + 1 hyperplanes $H_i \ni x_P$ such that x_P is the centroid of $H_i \cap K$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, d + 1$? Is there always a collection H_i , $i = 1, \ldots, d + 1$ of hyperplanes passing through the expectation (the centroid) $\mathbb{E} X$ of a reasonable measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), X \sim P$, such that $\mathbb{E} X = \mathbb{E}(X \mid H_i)$ for each $H_i, i = 1, \ldots, d + 1$? In the special case of P uniform on a convex body K, this is the open problem A8 from the book [5].

4. Grünbaum's inequality. For $X \sim P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ uniform on a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x = \mathbb{E} X$ the centroid of K it holds that [17]

(1)
$$hD(x;P) \ge \left(\frac{d}{d+1}\right)^d \ge \exp(-1) > 0.36$$

This inequality is interesting, because the lower bound does not depend on the dimension d. Under what conditions does such a result hold true also for measures? For log-concave measures, analogous inequalities are derived in [3, Section 5.2] — can these results be extended to other classes of reasonable measures?

Does an inequality of type (1) hold true also for measures without the condition x = E X? That is, find the broadest class of measures Q such that

$$\inf_{P \in \mathcal{Q}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} hD(x; P) \ge c > 0$$

for some c > 0.

5. Funk's characterisation of symmetry. We say that a measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is halfspace symmetric around $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if $hD(x; P) \ge 1/2$.

For a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, K is symmetric around $x \in K$ (in the sense K - x = -(K - x)) if and only if the uniform measure P on K is halfspace symmetric around x [14, 33]. This result is known as the Funk theorem.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be halfspace symmetric around $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $P(\{x\}) = 0$. Then, for $X \sim P$, the distributions of the random vectors (X - x) / ||X - x|| and -(X - x) / ||X - x|| are identical [32, Theorem 2]. This implies a general version of the Funk theorem for measures. As far as we can tell, this theorem is not known in geometry.

Under what conditions imposed on the measure P (i.e. the existence and continuity of the density f, its quasi-concavity, or log-concavity) does it hold true, that the halfspace symmetry

around $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is equivalent with the symmetry of its density f around x (i.e. $f(\cdot - x) \equiv f(x - \cdot)$)?

6. Smoothness of depth contours. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from the distribution P with a density. Denote by $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the empirical measure of this random sample¹. We know that for a given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the distribution of the random variable $\sqrt{n} (hD(x; P_n) - hD(x; P))$ is asymptotically normal if and only if there is a unique hyperplane minimizing the depth (w.r.t. P) at x [24]. The last condition is equivalent with the smoothness of the boundary of the depth region hD_α for $\alpha = hD(x; P)$ at x. Under what conditions on P and x can we guarantee that this is fulfilled?

Under what conditions on P can we guarantee that for all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (except for the halfspace median of P) the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n} (hD(x; P_n) - hD(x; P))$ if normal? The only known example of such distributions in statistics is the class of elliptically symmetric distributions (e.g. multivariate normal distributions), for which the depth regions hD_{α} are concentric ellipsoids, and, a bit more generally, a class of very special distributions called α symmetric distributions [11, Chapter 7]. Another example of such distributions from geometry are the uniform distributions on symmetric, strictly convex bodies with smooth boundaries [25]. For which other measures does this condition hold true?

7. Detection of rough points. In practice the measure P is unknown, and we are given only the empirical measure P_n of the random sample X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n from P. Is it possible, using only P_n , to detect (test) whether for a given point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the contour of the depth $hD(\cdot; P)$ is smooth at x as in Problem 6?

8. Shape and orientation of depth contours. As shown by Milman and Pajor [27, page 104], for P uniform on a (symmetric) convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, each set hD_{α} is homothetic to a fixed ellipsoid whose orientation depends only on the variance matrix of P. For log-concave measures P, a generalization of this theorem is mentioned in [12]. Under what conditions is it possible to extend this theorem to general measures P?

Let P be an isotropic measure² with a positive density on \mathbb{R}^d . Does it hold true that for each r > 0 there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $B(r) \subset hD_{\alpha}$, where $B(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||x|| < r\}$?

9. Gnedenko's law of large numbers. For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ log-concave and X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n a random sample from P, Fresen [13] shows that the convex hull $co(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ of the observations does, for $n \to \infty$, with large probability "behave like" the set hD_{α} with $\alpha = 1/n$. Does this result hold true for broader classes of measures? Is it possible to sharpen it³? Does this result have applications in statistics, e.g. in the analysis of multivariate extremes?

10. Probabilistic volumes of the depth regions. Is it possible, from the depth of all points in \mathbb{R}^d , to determine $P(hD_{\alpha})$? Can we (for reasonable measures) at least well estimate this probability?

What is the relation between the index α and the characteristics of the set hD_{α} (probability $P(hD_{\alpha})$, volume vol (hD_{α}) , the diameter of hD_{α})?

¹The uniform distribution concentrated in the sample points.

 $^{{}^{2}\}mathbf{E} X = 0$, and the variance matrix $X \sim P$ is a multiple of an identity.

³The estimates of the distances between sets in [13] are considered only w.r.t. a very special metric in the space of convex bodies.

11. Affine surface area for measures. For P uniform on a convex body K the limit

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0+} \frac{1 - P(hD_{\alpha})}{\alpha^{2/(d-1)}}$$

is proportional to the affine surface area of the body K [34]. Is it possible to extend this result to measures? What are the properties, and the interpretation of this characteristic in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$?

12. Affine invariant points. A continuous mapping p from the space of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d (equipped with the Hausdorff metric) to \mathbb{R}^d that is equivariant w.r.t. non-singular affine transformations of \mathbb{R}^d is called an affine invariant point [18, 26]. Examples of affine invariant points are the centroid, or the centre of the John ellipsoid of K (the ellipsoid of maximal volume that is contained in K). Is the halfspace median of a convex body an affine invariant point? Is it possible to consider affine invariant points also w.r.t. probability measures?

13. Computation of depth. Finding the depth of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ w.r.t. the empirical measure P_n of a random sample for larger values of d and n can be computationally very expensive. The best known exact algorithms have complexity $\mathcal{O}(n^{d-1}\log(n))$ [10]. For a recent survey on related results see Chapter 58 in the book [16]. Usually, the exact computations of the halfspace medians, and the depth regions, are even more involved. Is it possible to speed up these algorithms? Can we, in a fast way, compute the depth w.r.t. a measure given by a density? A trivial approach to the last problem is described in [20].

14. Approximation of depth. In practice, for larger d we often resort to the approximation of the depth hD(x; P) (or $hD(x; P_n)$) using the function

(2)
$$hD_N(x;P) = \min_{i=1,\dots,N} P\left(\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \colon \langle x, U_i \rangle \le \langle y, U_i \rangle \right\}\right),$$

where U_1, \ldots, U_N is a random sample from (the uniform) distribution on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . As $N \to \infty$ we know that $hD_N(x; P) \to hD(x; P)$ almost surely [9, Section 6]. Does such an approximation hold true also uniformly over all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$? How large N do we need to take in order to achieve a sufficiently good approximation of the true depth? First results in this direction can be found in [29].

15. Depth in non-Euclidean spaces. For a definition of the halfspace depth in a general space M it suffices to introduce the concept of halfspaces, as a system of some measurable subsets of M. In the literature, the depth has been introduced in this way on, e.g. the unit sphere [35], or in general metric spaces [4]. Similarly, in geometry, the study of floating bodies on manifolds and other general structures is already under way [1, 2]. Which properties of the depth in \mathbb{R}^d hold true also without the assumption of linearity of the space M?

16. Depth in infinite-dimensional spaces. Let B be a general Banach space, which can be equipped with halfspaces of the form

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}(y,\varphi) = \left\{ x \in B \colon \varphi(x) \le \varphi(y) \right\},\$$

where $y \in B$ and φ is a bounded linear functional from the dual space of B. With such halfspaces it is possible to formally define the halfspace depth also for measures $P \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. Though, it appears that in that case hD can degenerate, i.e. assign hD(x; P) = 0 to (almost) all $x \in B$ also for very reasonable measures P [8, 15]. On the other hand, inequalities of type (1) suggest that for special measures, some points can still have positive depth, also in B of infinite dimension.

Does it make sense to consider the halfspace depth also in infinite-dimensional spaces? Can we describe the locus of points for which hD(x; P) > 0 in in a general space B? Is it possible to resolve the problem of the degeneration of the depth?

17. Existence of Dupin's floating bodies. For a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of unit volume and $\alpha > 0$ small, we say that the Dupin's floating body of K is the convex set $K_{[\alpha]}$ such that each supporting hyperplane of $K_{[\alpha]}$ cuts off a set of volume α from K [7]. Dupin's floating body may not exist. For instance, $K_{[\alpha]}$ does not exist for K the triangle in \mathbb{R}^2 for any $\alpha > 0$. Though, if $K_{[\alpha]}$ exists, then $K_{[\alpha]} = hD_{\alpha}$ for P the uniform distribution on K [34]. In other words, if the Dupin's floating body of K exists, then it coincides with the floating body of K. For a measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one can define Dupin's floating bodies analogously. In connection with Problem 1, it appears that [30, Theorem 34] if all Dupin's floating bodies of P exist for all $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, then the measure P is characterised by its depth, and $P(\mathfrak{H})$ is given either by $c = \sup_{x \in \partial \mathfrak{H}} hD(x; P)$, or by 1-c for any halfspace $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In connection with Problem 6, if all depth contours of P are smooth, then all Dupin's floating bodies of P exist for all $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$.

In Bobkov [3, Theorem 6.1] it is shown that for symmetric, full-dimensional, κ -concave⁴ probability measures P with $\kappa > -1$, all Dupin's floating bodies of P exist. Can the condition $\kappa > -1$ be dropped? Does there exist other probability measures P whose Dupin's floating bodies exist?

18. Strict convexity of hD_{α} . For P a uniform distribution on a convex body, hD_{α} is always a strictly convex set. This follows from the partial positive result stated in Problem 2. If Pis such that $X \sim P$ does not have an expectation, hD_{α} may fail to be strictly convex. Also, if P is distributed uniformly on a non-convex set in \mathbb{R}^d , there may exist hD_{α} whose boundary contains a line segment. Under which conditions are all depth regions of $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ strictly convex? Must all Dupin's floating bodies of a measure be strictly convex?

19. Homothety conjecture. For K an ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^d , all (Dupin's) floating bodies of K are concentric ellipsoids with the same centre and the same orientation as K. Is it true that if, for some convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, some floating body K_{δ} is an ellipsoid, then K must be an ellipsoid as well? This problem is called the homothety conjecture [36, 37].

References

- [1] Besau, F. and Werner, E. M. (2016). The spherical convex floating body. *Adv. Math.*, 301:867–901.
- [2] Besau, F. and Werner, E. M. (2018). The floating body in real space forms. J. Differential Geom., 110(2):187–220.
- [3] Bobkov, S. G. (2010). Convex bodies and norms associated to convex measures. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 147(1-2):303–332.
- [4] Carrizosa, E. (1996). A characterization of halfspace depth. J. Multivariate Anal., 58(1):21–26.
- [5] Croft, H. T., Falconer, K. J., and Guy, R. K. (1994). Unsolved problems in geometry. Problem Books in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [6] Donoho, D. L. and Gasko, M. (1992). Breakdown properties of location estimates based on halfspace depth and projected outlyingness. *Ann. Statist.*, 20(4):1803–1827.
- [7] Dupin, C. (1822). Applications de Géométrie et de Méchanique. Bachelier, Paris.
- [8] Dutta, S., Ghosh, A. K., and Chaudhuri, P. (2011). Some intriguing properties of Tukey's half-space depth. *Bernoulli*, 17(4):1420–1434.
- [9] Dyckerhoff, R. (2004). Data depths satisfying the projection property. *Allg. Stat. Arch.*, 88(2):163–190.

⁴Measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called κ -concave for $-\infty \leq \kappa \leq \infty$ if $P(tA + sB) \geq (tP(A)^{\kappa} + sP(B)^{\kappa})^{1/\kappa}$ for all $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ Borel, s, t > 0, s + t = 1. Condition $\kappa > -1$ implies the existence of $\mathbb{E}X$ for $X \sim P$. For details see [3, Section 2].

- [10] Dyckerhoff, R. and Mozharovskyi, P. (2016). Exact computation of the halfspace depth. *Comput. Statist. Data Anal.*, 98:19–30.
- [11] Fang, K. T., Kotz, S., and Ng, K. W. (1990). Symmetric multivariate and related distributions, volume 36 of Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability. Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London.
- [12] Fresen, D. (2012). The floating body and the hyperplane conjecture. Arch. Math. (Basel), 98(4):389–397.
- [13] Fresen, D. (2013). A multivariate Gnedenko law of large numbers. Ann. Probab., 41(5):3051–3080.
- [14] Funk, P. (1915). Uber eine geometrische Anwendung der Abelschen Integralgleichung. Math. Ann., 77(1):129–135.
- [15] Gijbels, I. and Nagy, S. (2017). On a general definition of depth for functional data. Statist. Sci., 32(4):630–639.
- [16] Goodman, J. E., O'Rourke, J., and Tóth, C. D., editors (2018). *Handbook of discrete and computational geometry*. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- [17] Grünbaum, B. (1960). Partitions of mass-distributions and of convex bodies by hyperplanes. *Pacific J. Math.*, 10:1257–1261.
- [18] Grünbaum, B. (1963). Measures of symmetry for convex sets. In Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VII, pages 233–270. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.
- [19] Hassairi, A. and Regaieg, O. (2008). On the Tukey depth of a continuous probability distribution. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 78(15):2308–2313.
- [20] Kaiser, M. J. (2001). The convex floating body. Appl. Math. Lett., 14(4):483-486.
- [21] Kong, L. and Zuo, Y. (2010). Smooth depth contours characterize the underlying distribution. J. Multivariate Anal., 101(9):2222–2226.
- [22] Koshevoy, G. A. (2002). The Tukey depth characterizes the atomic measure. J. Multivariate Anal., 83(2):360–364.
- [23] Leichtweiß, K. (1986). Zur Affinoberfläche konvexer Körper. Manuscripta Math., 56(4):429–464.
- [24] Massé, J.-C. (2004). Asymptotics for the Tukey depth process, with an application to a multivariate trimmed mean. *Bernoulli*, 10(3):397–419.
- [25] Meyer, M. and Reisner, S. (1991). A geometric property of the boundary of symmetric convex bodies and convexity of flotation surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 37(3):327–337.
- [26] Meyer, M., Schütt, C., and Werner, E. M. (2015). Affine invariant points. Israel J. Math., 208(1):163–192.
- [27] Milman, V. D. and Pajor, A. (1989). Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed *n*-dimensional space. In *Geometric aspects of functional analysis* (1987–88), volume 1376 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 64–104. Springer, Berlin.
- [28] Nagy, S. (2018a). Halfspace depth does not characterize probability distributions. arXiv:1810.09207.
- [29] Nagy, S. (2018b). Uniform rates of convergence for the approximations of the halfspace depth and the projection depth. In preparation.
- [30] Nagy, S., Schütt, C., and Werner, E. M. (2018). Data depth and floating body. arXiv:1809.10925.
- [31] Rousseeuw, P. J. and Ruts, I. (1999). The depth function of a population distribution. *Metrika*, 49(3):213–244.
- [32] Rousseeuw, P. J. and Struyf, A. (2004). Characterizing angular symmetry and regression symmetry. J. Stat. Plan. Inference, 122(1-2):161–173.
- [33] Schneider, R. (1970). Functional equations connected with rotations and their geometric applications. *Enseignement Math.* (2), 16:297–305 (1971).

- [34] Schütt, C. and Werner, E. M. (1990). The convex floating body. *Math. Scand.*, 66(2):275–290.
- [35] Small, C. G. (1987). Measures of centrality for multivariate and directional distributions. Canad. J. Statist., 15(1):31–39.
- [36] Stancu, A. (2006). The floating body problem. Bull. London Math. Soc., 38(5):839–846.
- [37] Werner, E. M. and Ye, D. (2011). On the homothety conjecture. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 60(1):1–20.